Calibration of forward models for the dynamic estimation of land microwave emissivity for GPM

Ken Harrison, Christa Peters-Lidard, Yudong Tian, Sarah Ringerud, Sujay Kumar NASA GSFC LSWG telecon March 7, 2014

A forward model able to capture emissivity dynamics?

- Several S2 approaches being investigated by LSWG group (regression, empirical, physical, hybrid, ...)
- Approach here:
 - Joint calibration of a land surface model (LSM) and microwave emissivity model (MEM) to high quality emissivity retrievals

Setup



• Computational platform: NASA GSFC Land Information System (LIS)

- Running resolution: 0.25 degree
- **Domain:** SGP (34,-100 to 39,-95)
- LSM: Noah 3.3
- MEM: CRTM2 (land emissivity module)
- Input datasets:
 - Forcings: NLDAS2
 - LAI: MODIS-RT (8-day product)
- **Calibration/Validation dataset:** Cloud-cleared AMSR-E retrievals (Ringerud, 2013)

Calibration period: 2008 warm season (Apr-Sep)

Validation period: Aug. 2004 - July 2011 (excluding calibration period) Calibration specifics:

> **Method:** Genetic Algorithm (GA) as embedded in LIS optimization subsystem (LIS-OPT)

Objective function: Minimize sum of squared differences between retrieved and simulated ("least squares") **Channel combinations calibrated to:**

10.65V/10.65H

Results here shown for validation period only

Noah3.3-CRTM2EM parameters

<u>Noah 3.3</u>			
<u>parameter</u>	Low	High	
SMCMAX	0.1	0.6	
PSISAT	0.01	3.2	
DKSAT	5.00E-07	3.00E-05	
DWSAT	6.00E-07	2.40E-05	
BEXP	2.75	12	
QUARTZ	0.02	0.95	
RSMIN	40	1000	
RGL	30	150	
HS	36	55	
Z0	0.01	0.99	
LAI	0.05	6.5	
CFACTR	0.1	2	
CMCMAX	1.00E-04	2.00E-03	
SBETA	-4	-1	
RSMAX	2000	10000	
ТОРТ	293	303	
REFDK	5.00E-07	3.00E-05	
FXEXP	0.2	4	
REFKDT	0.1	10	
CZIL	0.05	0.8	
CSOIL	1.26E+06	3.50E+06	
FRZK	0.1	0.25	
SNUP	0.01	0.1	
SMCREF	0	0.5	
SMCDRY	0	0.15	
SMCWLT	0	0.15	
F1	-11	0.17	
SLOPE	0	1	
EMISS	0.8	1	

CRTM parameter	Low	<u>High</u>
SIGMA	0.2	20
LEAF_THICK	0.035	0.28
WATER_CONTENT_PER_LAI	0.05	0.3
SSALB_FACTOR	0.85	1.1
BGF_FIXED	0	0.4
K_LAI2VGF	0.2	2

→ Both LSM and MEM parameters are poorly specified

→ The values are iteratively adjusted in direction of improved match to retrievals (using LIS-OPT subsystem)

Calibration leads to improved spatial correlation



Anomalies better captured / Error reduced



• Warmer colors indicate improvement



- Colder colors better
- Areas of greater variability in emissivity, have greater error (crops) 6

Improvements hold across channels



- Spatial correlations greatly improved
- Anomaly correlations (monthly) improved (89 exception)
- Avg. RMSE reduced to 0.021

Conclusions

- Calibrated Noah3.3-CRTM2EM yields ~0.02 RMSE and spatially coherent fields
- Achieved with relatively short calibration period (1 warm season)
- Improvements to models/opt setup can likely achieve further gains—this is a first cut analysis