# Improving over land precipitation retrieval by brightness temperature temporal variation

Yalei You<sup>1</sup>, Christa Peters-Lidard<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Turk<sup>3</sup>, Sarah Ringerud<sup>2</sup>, Song Yang<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>ESSIC/UMD, <sup>2</sup>NASA GSFC, <sup>3</sup>JPL, <sup>4</sup>NRL

## **Motivation:**

- The primary precipitation signal over land is the brightness temperature (TB) depression at high frequency channels caused by the ice scattering.
- A common and serious issue is the cold land surface contamination (e.g., snow-covered land), which is particularly problematic for rainfall/snowfall retrieval in winter.
- To mitigate this problem, this study proposes to use TB temporal variation (ΔTB), which is derived from eight polar-orbiting satellites, including GPM, F17, F18, S-NPP, NOAA-18, NOAA-19, Metop-A and Metop-B.
- MRMS precipitation data is taken as reference.



## Basic idea: Make satellites "talk" to each other. When doing retrieval, one satellite should "consult" what happened previously from another satellite.

## Why these 8 satellites:

GPM, F17, F18, S-NPP, NOAA-18, NOAA-19, Metop-A and Metop-B.

## **Because:**

Radiometers onboard these satellites all have frequencies from  $\sim$ 89 GHz to  $\sim$ 183 GHz.

Satellite name	Radiometer name	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	Resolution	ECT
GPM	GMI	89.0 (V/H)	166.0 (V/H)	183.3±3, ±7 (V)	6 or 7 km	_
F17	SSMIS	91.7 (V/H)	150.0 (H)	183.3±1, ±3, ±6.6 (H)	14 km	1826
F18	SSMIS	91.7 (V/H)	150.0 (H) <sup>a</sup>	183.3±1, ±3, ±6.6 (H)	14 km	1845
SNPP	ATMS*	88.2 (V)	165.5 (H)	183.3±1, ±1.8, ±3, ±4.5, ±7 (H)	14–45 km	1331
NOAA-18	MHS*	89.0 (V)	157.0 (V)	183.3±1, ±3 (H); 191.3 (V)	17–40 km	1833
NOAA-19	MHS*	89.0 (V)	157.0 (V)	183.3±1, ±3 (H); 191.3 (V)	17–40 km	1559
MetOp-A	MHS*	89.0 (V)	157.0 (V)	183.3±1, ±3 (H); 191.3 (V)	17–40 km	2129
MetOp-B	MHS*	89.0 (V)	157.0 (V)	183.3±1, ±3 (H); 191.3 (V)	17–40 km	2132

Previous work showed that high freq. channels provide most information for the light precipitation detection/retrieval.

You, Y., N. Wang, R. Ferraro, and S. Rudlosky, 2017: Quantifying the snowfall detection performance of the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) microwave imager channels over land. J. Hydrometeor., doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-16-0190.1

## "Convert" TBs from other radiometers to GMI

- Simultaneous Conical Overpass (SCO): simultaneous measurements at a location from two different sensors at a similar frequency should be highly correlated.
  (1) regress SSMIS(F17)-V91.7 against GMI-V89, using SCO pairs between F17 & GPM.
  (2) apply this relation to all pixels.
- Sounder (ATMS & MHS): SCO pairs dependent on scan position.
- By doing so, it is as if that we have eight sensors measuring TBs at GMI frequencies, which are 89.0 (V/H) 166.0 (V/H), 183.3±3 (V), and 183.3±7 (V).

You, Y., C. Peters-Lidard, J. Turk, S. Ringerud, and S. Yang, 2017: Improving over land precipitation retrieval with brightness temperature temporal variation. *J. Hydrometeor.* doi:10.1175/JHM-D-17-0050.1.

## **TB temporal variation (ΔTB):**

```
\Delta TB = TB_{t_0} - TB_{t_{-1}}
```

- $TB_{t_0}$  is the current TB associated with precipitation.
- $TB_{t_{-1}}$  is the preceding TB at the same location without precipitation.
- ΔTB is not the difference between two temporally consecutive TB observations

 $\Delta t = t_0 - t_{-1}$ 

- Δt time difference between these two observations.
- We show later that the shorter the revisit time ( $\Delta t$ ), the better the correlation between TB temporal variation ( $\Delta TB$ ) and precipitation intensity is.

## Correlation from TB vs. from $\Delta TB$

**Corr. from TB** 







#### **Corr. from ΔTB**



130W 120W 110W 100W 90W 80W 70W 60W





- ΔTB correlates more strongly with precipitation rate.
- Especially, over Rocky Mountains and northeast CONUS.

## Why ΔTB is better



#### **Because:**

Surface contamination from snow cover is greatly mitigated by  $\Delta TB$  due to the frequent re-visit (every 2hr) from these eight satellites.

## Daily re-visit frequency



- Over the targeted region, the daily re-visit frequency is from 10 to 16 times (on average, every ~2-hr), depending on latitudes.
- The frequent revisit for a certain location enables us to find a non-cloudy background accurately, therefore  $\Delta TB$  mostly contains the precipitation information, instead of surface and environmental contamination.

## Shorter $\Delta T$ , better correlation



- Correlation substantially weakens with longer  $\Delta T$  over the Northeast region (37-47N, 65-80W).
- With these 8 satellites, almost all  $\Delta T$  (94.6%) is less than 24 hrs.

## A blizzard case: Mid-Atlantic and Northeast on 23 Jan 2016



• Over-estimation for light precipitation is greatly alleviated for all sensors.

## A blizzard case: Mid-Atlantic and Northeast on 23 Jan 2016



• Over-estimation for light precipitation is greatly alleviated for all sensors.

## A blizzard case: Mid-Atlantic and Northeast on 23 Jan 2016



- Over-estimation for light precipitation (<2 mm/hr) is greatly alleviated for all sensors.
- Because: if ΔTB is 0, the retrieval can only be 0.

#### **Retrieval results:**

- Only using 89 GHz channel, AMSR2-type sensor (highest freq. ~89 GHz).
- Simple linear regression (2014-2015 training; 2016 validation).



• Largest improvement is at the lower end of the precipitation intensity.

#### **Retrieval results:**

- Using all channels from 89 to 183 GHz.
- Simple linear regression (2014-2015 training; 2016 validation).



• Largest improvement is at the lower end of the precipitation intensity.

## **Summary:**

- $\Delta TB$  correlates more strongly with precipitation rate than TB itself.
- ΔTB greatly mitigates snow-cover contamination.
- Largest improvement is found for the cold season precipitation.

#### Acknowledgement:

- Dr. Wesley Berg for SSMIS data status.
- Dr. Joe Munchak for reading/discussing the original draft.
- Dr. Eric Nelkin for providing the equatorial crossing time.